

**MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
OCTOBER 1, 2015
MINUTES**

Members/Voting Alternates

Richard Barnhart, City of West Carrollton
John Beals, City of Centerville
Michael Beamish, City of Troy
Dale Berry, Washington Township
Steve Boeder, Municipality of Germantown
John Bruns, City of Union
Richard Church, City of Miamisburg
Philip Cox, Monroe Township
Judy Dodge, Montgomery County
Elmer Dudas, City of Springboro
Frank Ecklar, Greater Dayton RTA
Andrew Fluegemann, ODOT District 8
Dolores Gillis, City of Tipp City
Bob Glaser, Greene County
Carol Graff, Beaver Creek Township
Arthur Haddad, Troy Chamber of Commerce
Rap Hankins, City of Trotwood
Jerome Hirt, Bethel Township
Matthew Joseph, City of Dayton
Dan Kirkpatrick, City of Fairborn
Sonny Lewis, City of Franklin
Sara Lommatzsch, City of Riverside
Dale Louderback, City of Xenia
Ralph Mantica, Dayton Area Board of Realtors
Julia Maxton, South Metro Chamber
Chris Mucher, Miami Township-Greene Co.
Paul Murphy, Sinclair Community College
John O'Brien, Miami County
Don Patterson, City of Kettering
Greg Rogers, Miami Township-Mont. Co.
Chris Schmiesing, City of Piqua
Amy Schrimpf, Dayton Development Coalition

Arlene Setzer, City of Vandalia
Mehdi Sharzi, Vectren
Woodrow Stroud, Greene County Transit
Janis Vargo, City of Huber Heights
Chad Whilding, City of Beavercreek
Karen Wintrow, Village of Yellow Springs
Steve Woolf, Clay Township

Other Alternates/Guests

Judy Blankenship, City of Huber Heights
Rex Dickey, Montgomery Co. Engineer's
Tim Eggleston, Tipp City
Steve Finke, City of Dayton
Jessica Heffner, GDRTA
Dan Hoying, LJB
Brandon Huddleson, Greene Co.
Brian Huxtable, RAPCA
Tom Koogler, Greene County
Tom Kretz, Beaver Creek Township
Denise Swinger, Yellow Springs
Fred Vogel, ODOT
Joe Vogel, AECOM
Jeff Wallace, Parsons Brinckerhoff
Ben Wiltheiss, ODOT D-7

Staff Present

Paul Arnold
Ann Burns
Brad Daniel
Tim Gilliland
Martin Kim
Brian Martin
Ami Parikh

III. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON ACTION ITEMS

None

IV. MPO (METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION) ACTION ITEMS

A. Adoption of Amendment to MVRPC's SFY2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Mr. Arnold referred to a memo on page 5 of the mailout explaining that numerous modifications to the programming documents for various projects has resulted in the need for a TIP amendment.

He referred to the project tables for each county as well as the areawide projects. Mr. Arnold stated that staff as well as TAC does recommend adoption of this TIP amendment, and referred to a resolution on page 21 of the mailout. Mr. Joseph made a motion to recommend adoption. Mr. Patterson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

B. Approval of MVRPC TRAC Ranking for CY2015

Mr. Daniel referred to a memo on page 22 of the mailout which provided background information on the TRAC process that began in 1997. He provided a summary of the MVRPC PES System which included:

- Visioning for transportation planning
- Transportation Goals/Themes
- PES Conceptual Design

Mr. Daniel explained that the PES system is used for the Long Range Transportation Plan, solicitation for STP/CMAQ and TA projects, TRAC and PDAC. The TRAC projects were reviewed and scored by MVRPC staff and agreed upon by the project sponsors to determine the final scores. Mr. Daniel referred to page 27 of the mailout which provided project details for the MOT-US35 project, ranked #1, and the GRE-US35 project, ranked #2. Staff requests approval of the resolution on page 28 to forward these rankings to the Board for their approval.

Mr. Glaser shared concerns about the inconsistency of the MVRPC scores, suggesting that perhaps a committee be formed to review this process so that it operates more closely to the TRAC system, since they are the one that control the dollars. He noted that MVRPC and TRAC seem to use a different rating system and if this can be resolved, may result in more consistent scores in the future. Mr. Patterson stated that it may be helpful in the future to see the comparison of the TRAC scores versus the MVRPC scores. Mr. Martin noted that Mr. Glaser had some valid points, noting that two differences in the scoring systems are that TRAC allows points for local match and they may weight more heavily on economic development, where we allow more points for project readiness. Ms. Graff clarified that these projects are a continuum of roadway, very much connected and one affects the other. They are equally important projects, and would like them presented as such to TRAC.

Mr. Whilding stated that the MVRPC process has accomplished a lot of great work in the region, but seems to be missing a piece when TRAC is involved. He stated that since ODOT has a different ranking, it makes it contentious for those involved. Mr. Whilding requested to read a prepared statement communicating the position of the City of Beaver Creek. This statement will be incorporated as part of these meeting minutes.

Mr. Hankins pointed out that with more roads being built, and more traffic congestion, there needs to be consideration for alternative transportation as well as mass transit. Mr. Joseph stated that since these two projects are not physically connected, he has no problem with this body having a different view than the state. Mr. Martin stated that staff has been working on a video with live interviews as part of the TRAC presentation on October 13th and both projects will be presented as equally important to our Region. Mr. Patterson asked what the position of the auto dealers is at this point, as well as local match for GRE-US35. Mr. Martin reported that the MOT-US35 project has been led by ODOT District 7; the plans are complete and are currently trying to secure additional funding for construction. He explained that there has recently been significant progress on the GRE-US35 project, including the sale by one of the auto dealers to new owners. Greene county officials are working closely to get these new owners on board. He explained that Greene County and Beaver Creek Township officials, as well as District 8 are focusing on shifting the order of the project to Valley Rd, around the airport, rather than Factory and Orchard area.

Ms. Graff stated that both the City and the County has contributed some funding and there is a donation of right-of-way from one of the property owners, therefore the local jurisdictions are working on the local match.

Ms. Lommatzsch made a motion to approve the resolution on page 28. Mr. Hankins seconded the motion. The motion passed, with one vote opposed.

V. INFORMATION ITEMS

A. Update on FY2017 Dayton Development Coalition's Priority Development and Advocacy Committee (PDAC) Process

Mr. Daniel referred to a memo on page 29 that provided background information on the Dayton Development Coalition's PDAC process, noting that MVRPC is the lead agency for Transportation and Government Services projects. He also reviewed the timeline of important dates provided on page 26, noting that the solicitation period will be October 15th thru November 13th. Mr. Daniel emphasized that the projects submitted must be regionally significant to score well in the PDAC process.

B. CY2016 Meeting Calendar

Vice-chair Graff referred to page 31 of the mailout which provided the list of meeting dates for 2016.

VI. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Martin referred to his October report which provided an update on funding for the MVRPC new project solicitation, the TRAC project solicitation as well as the PDAC project solicitation. He shared information on some recent grant opportunities that are available. Mr. Martin's report also included a list of upcoming MVRPC meetings.

Mr. Martin also referred to a handout for a Blighted Properties Workshop being offered by the City of Piqua on October 20th at the Fort Piqua Plaza Banquet Center. He also shared an invitation and provided contact information for the Miami Conservancy District for a River Planning Workshop on Thursday, October 22 at the Dayton Marriott Hotel.

Amy Schrimpf from Dayton Development Coalition announced that the PDAC web page will be available today providing instructions and materials for the Transportation and Government Services project solicitation. Mr. Martin reminded the Board that the TRAC Hearing is on Tuesday, October 13th. Staff is expecting the TRAC video presentation to be available for review sometime mid-week. Mr. Patterson suggested this also be made available on the website.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Haddad made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Church seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Brian O. Martin, AICP
Executive Director

Janis Vargo, Chairperson

Date